Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/911,819	MICCO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tuan A. Vu	2193		

interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan A. Vu	2193	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Tuan A. Vu</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>James McKenzie</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>05 July 2006</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>49</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h) N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPOLITION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUB	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	Tuant	nhu	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner's sign	ature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative has been shown that some aspect of the invention can be represented from the basis of the library limitations of claim 49; and the claimed invention would be having more novel teaching only after claim 49 be modified to add some specific limitations from other dependent claims. But after consulting with the Applicants, it was learned from the representative that they were not yet ready to decide as to let the above recommended changes by Examiner be incorporated. The office Action would then be effectuated to address the response as filed on record..